The Structure of Compilers

Mooly Sagiv Tel Aviv University sagiv@math.tau.ac.il and Reinhard Wilhelm Universität des Saarlandes wilhelm@cs.uni-saarland.de

14. Oktober 2013

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

Material from

Chapter 6 in Wilhelm/Maurer: Compiler Design, Pearson,

Chapter 6 in Wilhelm/Maurer: Übersetzerbau, Springer, 2nd edition, 1997

Chapter 1 in Wilhelm/Seidl/Hack: Übersetzerbau, Vol. 2, Springer, 2012

Chapter 1 in Wilhelm/Seidl/Hack: Compiler Design — Syntactic and Semantic Analysis —, Vol. 2, Springer, 2013

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

Subjects

- Structure of the compiler
- Automatic Compiler Generation

▲□▶ ▲課▶ ▲理▶ ★理▶ = 目 - の��

Real Compiler Structures

Motivation

 The compilation process is decomposable into a sequence of tasks.

Aspects:

- Modularity
- Reusability
- The functionality of the tasks is well defined.
- Some of the tasks have generic solutions, i.e., they work for several source languages and/or target machines.
- The programs that implement some of the tasks can be automatically generated from formal specifications







tree automata + dynamic programming + \cdots

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

A Running Example

```
program foo ;
var i, j : real ;
begin
read (i);
j := i + 3 * i
end.
```

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Lexical Analysis (Scanning)

Functionality

Input program text as sequence of characters Output program text as sequence of symbols (tokens)

- Read input file
- Report errors about symbols illegal in the programming language
- Screening subtask:
 - Identify language keywords and standard identifiers
 - Eliminate "white-space", e.g., consecutive blanks and newlines
 - Count line numbers

Automatic Generation of Lexical Analyzers

- The symbols of programming languages can be specified by regular expressions.
- Examples:
 - program as a sequence of characters.
 - (alpha (alpha | digit)*) for Pascal identifiers
 - "(*" until "*)" for Pascal comments
- The recognition of input strings can be performed by a finite state machine.
- ► A table representation or a program for the automaton is automatically generated from a regular expression.

Automatic Generation of Lexical Analyzers (cont'd)



Numerous generators for lexical analyzers: lex, flex, oolex, quex, ml-lex.

Syntax Analysis (Parsing)

Functionality

Input Sequence of symbols (tokens) Output Structure of the program:

- concrete syntax tree (parse tree),
- abstract syntax tree, or
- derivation.

Treat syntax errors

Report (as many as possible) syntax errors, Diagnose syntax errors, Correct syntax errors. Parse Tree



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

Automatic Generation of Syntax Analysis

- ► Parsing of programs can be performed by a pushdown automaton.
- A table representation or a program for the pushdown automaton is automatically generated from a context free grammar.



Numerous parser generators: yacc, bison, ml-yacc, java-CC, ANTLR.

Semantic Analysis

Functionality

Input Abstract syntax tree

Output Abstract tree "decorated" with attributes, e.g., types of sub-expressions

- Report "semantic" errors, e.g., undeclared variables, type mismatches
- Resolve usages of variables: Identify the right defining occurrences of variables for applied occurrences.
- Compute type of every (sub-)expression, resolving overloading.





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Machine Independent Optimizations

Functionality

Input Abstract tree decorated with attributes Output A semantically equivalent abstract tree decorated with attributes

- Analyzes the program for global properties.
- Transforms the program based on these global properties in order to improve efficiency.
- Analysis may also report program anomalies, e.g., uninitialized variables.

Example1: Constant Propagation

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

```
const i = 5;
var x, y : integer;
begin
     x := 5 + i;
     read y;
     if x = y
     then y := y + x
     else y := y - x
     fi:
     y := y + x * 9
end;
```

Example2: Loop Invariant Code Motion and Reduction in Operator Strength

```
const i = 5;
var n, x, y : integer;
begin
      x := 5 + i:
      y := 1;
      read n:
       for k := 1 to 100 do
          y := y + k \times (x + n)
       od:
       print y
end:
```

Address Assignment

Map variables into the static area, stack, heap

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- Compute static sizes
- Generate proper alignments

Generation of the target program

Partly contradictory goals:

- Code Selection: Select cheapest instruction sequence.
- Register Allocation: Perform most or all of the computations in registers.
- Instruction Scheduling: On machines with intraprocessor parallelism, e.g., super-scalar, pipelined, VLIW: exploit intraprocessor parallelism as much as possible.
- Partial problems are already NP-hard.
- "Good" solutions are obtained by combining suboptimal solutions obtained by heuristics

Example: Local Register Allocation

- Try to perform all computations in registers:
- One register is sufficient for the (trivial) expression x; so execute the command:

load $r_i, \rho(x)$

- If the expression e₁ takes m registers to evaluate and e₂ takes n registers and m > n, then e₁ + e₂ takes m registers (why?)
- ▶ If the expression e₁ takes m registers and e₂ takes n registers and m < n, then e₁ + e₂ takes n registers (why?)
- ▶ What happens if *m* = *n*?
- ► What happens if there aren't enough registers?

Real Compiler Structure

- Simple compilers are "one-pass"; conceptually separated tasks are combined.
 Parser is the driver.
- One task in the conceptual compiler structure may need more than one pass, e.g., mixed declarations and uses.

ション ふゆ くち くち くち くち

- ► Almost all use automatically generated lexers and parsers.
- Compilers use global information, e.g., symbol tables.
- There may be many representation levels in a multipass compiler.