Semantic Analysis Wilhelm/Seidl/Hack: Compiler Design - Syntactic and Semantic Analysis, Chapter 4

Semantic Analysis Wilhelm/Seidl/Hack: Compiler Design – Syntactic and Semantic Analysis, Chapter 4

Reinhard Wilhelm Universität des Saarlandes wilhelm@cs.uni-sb.de

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

"Standard" Structure



When is a Program Incorrect?

A program is incorrect, if it does not adhere to language-specific constraints.

 Scanner: Catches sequence of characters that do not form valid tokens

Example: $in\tau$ instead of int

Specification mechanism: regular expressions (cannot describe matching parentheses)

 Parser: Catches sequence of symbols that do not form valid words in a CFG

Example: while while int 2 do

Specification mechanism: CFGs (cannot describe declaredness requirements)

This leaves context sensitive constraints Example: int f(){return 4;} void g(){return f(6);}

Semantic Constraints

Typical semantic constraints, checked by the compiler:

- Each variable declared in an enclosing scope.
- Variables uniquely declared within a scope.
- > Types of operands and operators in expressions must match.

Programs violating such semantic constraints are rejected by the compiler.

Note: Dynamic semantic constraints (no division by zero, no dereferencing of null pointers) are not (cannot) be checked by the compiler, the potential can, cf. static program analysis (Vol. 3)!

Types and Variables: Terminology

- Identifiers denote program objects (variables, constants, types, methods,...).
- ► A declaration introduces an identifier, binds it to an element.
- A defining occurrence of an identifier is an occurrence in a declaration.
- An applied occurrence of an identifier is an occurrence somewhere else.
- The scope of a defining occurence is that (textual) part of a program, in which an applied occurence may refer to this defining occurrence.
- A defining occurence of an identifier is visible, if it is directly visible (in the scope) or made visible by name extensions (std::cin)

Semantic Analysis Wilhelm/Seidl/Hack: Compiler Design - Syntactic and Semantic Analysis, Chapter 4

Types and Variables: Terminology (continued)

The type of a constant (variable) constrains which operations can be applied to the constant (variable).

 Overload of an identifier is the legal existence of several defining occurrences of this identifier in the same scope.

Symbol Table

- A data structure used to store information on declared objects
- Supports insertions and deletions of declarations and opening and closing of scopes
- Supports efficient search for the defining occurrence associated with an applied occurrence: identification of identifiers

Symbol Table Functionality

Language with nested so	copes, (<mark>blocks</mark>
create_symb_table	creates an empty symbol table,
enter_block	notes the start of a new scope,
exit_block	resets the symbol table to the state
	before the last <i>enter_block</i> ,
enter_id(id, decl_ptr)	inserts an entry for identifier id
	with a link to its defining occurrence
	passed in <i>decl_ptr</i> ,
search_id(id)	searches the def. occ. for <i>id</i>
	returns a pointer to it if exists.

Symbol Table Implementation

- Data structure with constant time for search_id,
- all currently valid defining occurrences of an identifier are stored in a (stack like) linear list,
- new entry is inserted at the end of this list,
- the end of this list is pointed to by an array component for this identifier,

▲日 ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

all entries for a block are chained through a linear list.

```
proc create symb table;
 begin create empty stack of block entries end;
proc enter block;
 begin push entry for the new block end;
proc exit block;
 begin
    foreach decl. entry of the curr. block do
      delete entry
    od:
    pop block entry from stack
 end :
proc enter id ( id: Idno; decl: ↑ node );
 begin
    if exists entry for id in curr. block
    then error("double declaration")
    fi:
    create new entry with decl and no. of curr. block;
    insert entry at tail of linear list for id;
    insert entry at tail of linear list for curr. block
 end :
```

Semantic Analysis Wilhelm/Seidl/Hack: Compiler Design - Syntactic and Semantic Analysis, Chapter 4

```
function search_id ( id: idno ) ↑ node;
begin
    if list for id is empty
    then error("undeclared identifier")
    else return (value of decl-field of first elem. in id-list)
    fi
end
```

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●



イロト (四) (日) (日) (日) (の)

~) Q (*

Declaration Analysis

```
proc analyze decl (k : node);
 proc analyze subtrees (root: node);
 begin
    for i := 1 to \# descs(root) do (* \# children *)
         analyze decl(root.i) (* i-th child of root *)
     od
 end :
begin
 case symb(k) of (* label of k *)
 block: begin
              enter block:
              analyze subtrees(k);
              exit block
         end :
 decl:
         begin
              analyze subtrees(k);
              foreach identifier declared here id do
                 enter id(id, \uparrow k)
              od
         end :
 appl id:(* appl. occ. of identifier id *)
         store search id(id) at k;
               if k no leaf then analyze subtrees(k) fi
 otherwise:
 od
end
```

Overloading of Operators

- An operator symbol (function, procedure identifier) is overloaded, if it may denote several operations at some point in the program.
- The different operators need to have different parameter profiles, i.e., tuples of argument and result types.
- The identification of identifiers may have legally associated several possible parameter profiles with an applied occurrence.
- Overload Resolution needs to identify exactly one defining occurrence depending on its parameter profile.

Overload Resolution I

Overload resolution for Ada:

- Conceptually 4 passes over trees for assignments.
- Passes 1 (initialization) and 2 (bottom-up elimination) and passes 3 (top-down elimination) and 4 (check) can be merged.

begin

```
init_ops;
bottom_up_elim(root);
top_down_elim(root);
check whether now all ops sets have exactly one element;
otherwise report an error
end
```

Overload Resolution II

Functions applied at nodes of assignment trees:#descs(k)number of child nodes of k,symb(k)symbol labeling k,vis(k)set of definitions of symb(k) visible at kops(k)set of actual candidates for overloaded symbol symb(k),k.iith child of k.

For def. occ. of overloaded symbol *op* with type $t_1 \times \cdots \times t_m \rightarrow t$ rank(op) = m $res_typ(op) = t$ $par_typ(op, i) = t_i$ $(1 \le i \le m)$.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ 日 ・ うらつ

Overload Resolution III

```
proc resolve overloading (root: node, a priori type: type);
func pot res types (k: node): set of type;
             (* potential types of the result *)
    return {res typ(op) | op \in ops(k) }
func act par types (k: node, i: integer): set of type;
    return {par typ(op, i) | op \in ops(k) }
proc init ops
begin
    foreach k
       ops(k) := \{op \mid op \in vis(k) \text{ and } rank(op) = #descs(k)\}
    od:
    ops(root) := \{ op \in ops(root) \mid res typ(op) = a priori typ \}
end :
```

Overload Resolution IV

```
proc bottom_up_elim (k: node);
begin
    for i := 1 to #descs(k) do
        bottom_up_elim (k.i);
        ops(k) := ops(k) - {op ∈ ops(k) | par_typ(op, i) ∉ pot_res_ty
        (* remove the operators, whose ith parameter type does not
        match the potential result types of the ith operand *)
        od;
end :
```

ション ふゆ くち くち くち くち

Overload Resolution V

```
proc top_down_elim (k: node);
begin
    for i := 1 to #descs(k) do
        ops(k.i) := ops(k.i) - {op ∈ ops(k.i) | res_typ(op) ∉ act_par_t
        (* remove the operators, whose result type does not match
        any type of the corresponding parameter *)
        top_down_elim(k.i)
        od;
end ;
```

Overload Resolution VI



Quite typical information flow, up and down the parse tree!